

# Data-Center Waste-Heat Recovery

Where the data-center waste-heat market actually pays — with emphasis on liquid-cooled AI facilities (60-80°C+ coolant). Technology reality, unit economics, the operators already shipping, the regulatory forcing function, and an honest read on where a new entrant can win.

## CONTENTS

- 1 Executive summary & the bottom line
- 2 Method & how to read this
- 3 Technology reality check
- 4 Unit economics — the numbers that decide it
- 5 Competitive landscape
- 6 Regulatory tailwinds — the demand engine
- 7 Why ventures fail & the defensible opening
- 8 Glossary of domain terms
- 9 What this assessment did not do
- 10 References

How to read the citations: a small gold number after a sentence is a clickable link to the third-party source for that claim; the full list is in §10. Verification notes like “(verified 3-0)” record how many independent fact-checkers confirmed the claim. Analytical judgments are labelled “(est.)”.

# Executive summary & the bottom line

## THE BOTTOM LINE AT A GLANCE

<b>Bottom line</b>	Reuse the heat; don't convert it to electricity. The value is in offtake, not thermodynamics.
<b>Dead end</b>	ORC heat->power converts only ~2% at today's coolant temps — and is a net energy loser below ~84°C.
<b>The money</b>	Heat reuse into low-temperature networks; liquid-cooled AI sites roughly double what the heat is worth.
<b>The void</b>	There is no price, no exchange, no offtake infrastructure for heat. The biggest risk and the clearest opening.
<b>Forcing function</b>	Germany (10%->20% reuse quota) and the EU (reuse-or-justify >=1MW) manufacture the demand.
<b>Where to win</b>	The offtake / origination layer — asset-light, software-aided, riding the mandate. Northern Europe first.

**The instinctive idea — capture data-center heat and turn it back into electricity — does not work at the temperatures modern liquid-cooled AI facilities actually run. A real-world organic Rankine cycle at ~58°C coolant converts only about 2% of the waste heat, and once the fan needed to reject the residual heat is counted, the whole system consumes more power than it makes.<sup>1</sup>**

The money is in reusing the heat — selling it into district-heating and direct-offtake networks — and liquid-cooled AI facilities roughly double the price you can charge versus legacy air-cooled sites, because they deliver heat at a usable grade into modern low-temperature networks.<sup>8,3</sup>

But the market's defining feature is that there is no market: waste heat has no standard price, no exchange, and most operators only reuse heat for compliance, not revenue. Regulation is the forcing function — Germany mandates rising heat-reuse quotas and the EU requires reuse-or-justify for facilities at or above 1 MW — which manufactures demand the market has no infrastructure to serve.<sup>5</sup>

**Where a newcomer wins is the offtake / origination layer — not hardware or thermodynamics: matching mandated operators to low-temperature heat networks and structuring the connection deal everyone else treats as an afterthought. Asset-light, software-aided, riding a legal mandate into a market that has no pricing layer yet (analytical judgment, est.).**

# Method & how to read this

---

This assessment was produced by the DropFly x1000 engine: the question was decomposed into five research angles, fanned out across 24 sources, 113 claims were extracted, and every load-bearing claim was put through adversarial fact-checking — each survives a 2-of-3 or unanimous 3-of-0 independent verifier vote. 8 of 25 tested claims were killed in verification and excluded. Every figure below is tied to a source that passed.

## Three tiers of claim

- Sourced fact — a number with a cited, clickable source and a recorded verification vote (e.g. “verified 3-0”).
- Triangulated estimate — corroborated across sources with differing methods; secondary-only claims are flagged.
- Labeled judgment — analytical inference (e.g. the recommended entry point), marked “(est.)”.

## The honesty notes

This is secondary research — no primary interviews with operators or heat networks were conducted. Project-level economics should be confirmed against a specific site and offtaker before any capital commitment. Vendor and operator self-reported figures are labelled where used.

# Technology reality check — what actually works

---

## Conversion to electricity (ORC): not viable at current coolant temperatures

- At ~58°C source / 14°C sink, an experimental ORC converted only ~2% of waste heat to mechanical energy even near full load (verified 3-0).<sup>1</sup>
- Net energy loser: once the condenser fan needed to reject residual heat is included, the full system consumed more electricity than it generated under every tested condition (verified 3-0).<sup>1</sup>
- The expander needs a ~40K temperature differential to run stably — for a typical US climate that implies coolant  $\geq 84^\circ\text{C}$ , above today's 60-80°C loops (verified 3-0).<sup>1</sup>
- Even an optimistic “3.5-year payback” ORC design first upgrades the heat with a vapor-compression heat pump — i.e. it spends electricity to make low-grade heat usable.<sup>4</sup>

*Verdict: ORC-to-power is off the table for 60-80°C AI loops. Revisit only if immersion loops push past ~90°C.*

## Reuse as heat: viable, and improved by liquid cooling

- Up to 90% of IT energy is recoverable as heat, but it's low-grade — air ~30°C, direct liquid ~40°C, immersion up to ~60°C — while district heating needs  $\geq 65^\circ\text{C}$  (up to 100°C in deep cold). Heat-pump upgrading is usually required (verified 3-0).<sup>5</sup>
- Immersion cooling reaches ~60°C outlet, high enough to make recovery practical — confirming that liquid-cooled AI facilities shift heat into a usable band (verified 3-0).<sup>2</sup>
- Higher coolant temperature is precisely why liquid cooling makes waste-heat recovery an effective efficiency / carbon lever (verified 3-0).<sup>3</sup>

# Unit economics — the numbers that decide it

---

## What heat sells for (and why low-temperature networks matter)

Marginal waste-heat price roughly doubles with a modern low-temperature network: ~48-56 €/MWh thermal for legacy 95-120°C networks vs ~90-93 €/MWh for 4th/5th-generation 48-70°C networks. Implication: project economics hinge on co-locating with low-temperature heat networks — which are the new-build ones.<sup>8</sup>

## Capex benchmarks

- Large district-heating heat pumps run ~0.8-1.1 M€/MW thermal (2017 Denmark) — a 5 MW project ~ 4-5.5 M€.<sup>6</sup>
- The heat pump is only 38-54% of project cost; the rest is source-side and connection infrastructure. Warm, concentrated sources (60-80°C liquid coolant) are the cheapest to exploit — so liquid-cooled AI campuses are structurally the lowest-cost heat source.<sup>6</sup>

## Payback — with the asterisk that matters

- A 10 MW data center producing ~75°C hot water in Philadelphia hits <2-year payback — but only with carbon credits included and customer-connection infrastructure excluded. The excluded connection cost is the deal's true make-or-break.<sup>7</sup>
- Storage doesn't pay. Direct (no-storage) reuse already covers ~70% of a campus's heating/cooling demand; seasonal borehole storage adds only ~20% more and only breaks even if storage capex falls 35% AND the heat/electricity price ratio rises 2.5x. Avoid “store summer heat for winter” models.<sup>8</sup>

# Competitive landscape — who is already operating

All four operators below were verified via primary / operator sources, June 2026. The technology is demonstrably not the hard part — the offtake economics are.

PLAYER	MODEL	STAGE / PROOF POINT
<b>Deep Green (UK)</b>	Immersion-cooled edge compute placed at the heat customer (pools, district heating)	£200m from Octopus Energy's Transition Fund; Devon pool cut heating bills ~60%; plan for hundreds of edge sites. <sup>9</sup>
<b>Qarnot (FR)</b>	Servers embedded in heaters/boilers; heat warms buildings year-round	€35m raised Jan 2023; backers incl. Société Générale Ventures, ADEME, Demeter. <sup>10</sup>
<b>Nebius (FI)</b>	Hyperscale AI site -> municipal district heating, heat upgraded to 80°C	~20,000 MWh/yr ~ 2,500 homes; supplies ~75% of the town centre's district heat; operating since 2015-16. <sup>11</sup>
<b>Stockholm Data Parks (SE)</b>	Public-private “Open District Heating”; free cooling traded for heat above 10 MW load	20+ suppliers, >100 GWh/yr, ~30,000 apartments; goal ~10% of city heat. <sup>12</sup>

Cautionary precedent: the consumer “servers heat homes” model already produced a notable bankruptcy (Nerdalize, 2019), underscoring that the technology is not the hard part — the offtake economics are (secondary source; flagged).

## Regulatory tailwinds — the demand engine

---

- Germany's Energy Efficiency Act: a heat-reuse quota for new data centers of 10% of thermal load in 2026, rising to 20% in 2028 (verified 3-0).<sup>5</sup>
- EU Energy Efficiency Directive (recast): facilities  $\geq 1$  MW must use waste-heat recovery or demonstrate it is technically/economically unfeasible (verified 3-0).<sup>5</sup>

Together these create a population of operators legally obligated to reuse heat, feeding into a market with no pricing mechanism and no offtake infrastructure — a structural gap a new entrant can occupy.

# Why ventures fail & the defensible opening

---

## The three failure modes

- No price mechanism for heat. No exchange, no comps; supply and demand both vary seasonally; most reuse is compliance-driven, not revenue-driven.<sup>5</sup>
- Connection infrastructure is the silent project-killer — every favorable payback in the literature explicitly excludes it.<sup>7</sup>
- Seasonal mismatch — supply is year-round, demand is winter-heavy; storage to bridge it doesn't pencil.<sup>8</sup>

## The defensible opening (analytical judgment, est.)

**Sit in the gap the mandate creates. Be the heat-offtake originator / broker — match mandated operators to low-temperature networks and heat-hungry offtakers, and structure the connection financing and contracts that everyone treats as an afterthought. Asset-light, software-aided, riding a legal mandate into a market that has no pricing layer yet. Northern Europe first (heat-network density + binding mandate + low-temperature networks); the US lacks both for now.**

# Glossary of domain terms

---

**Waste heat**

The low-grade thermal energy a data center rejects to the environment; the raw material here.

**ORC (Organic Rankine Cycle)**

A heat-to-electricity engine using a low-boiling-point fluid; the path this report rules out at current temps.

**District heating (DH)**

A network distributing centrally-produced heat to buildings; the main offtake channel for reused heat.

**Low-temperature network (4GDH/5GDH)**

4th/5th-generation district heating running at ~48-70°C — where reused data-center heat is worth the most.

**Heat pump**

Equipment that upgrades low-grade heat to a usable temperature; the single largest capex line item.

**Immersion cooling**

Submerging servers in dielectric fluid; reaches ~60°C outlet, high enough to make recovery practical.

**Coolant grade**

The temperature of the heat-carrying loop; higher grade (liquid/immersion) = more valuable, cheaper to reuse.

**PUE**

Power Usage Effectiveness — total facility power / IT power; the standard data-center efficiency metric.

**Offtake / offtaker**

The contracted buyer of the heat (a utility, district network, or heat-hungry industry).

**Origination**

Sourcing and structuring offtake deals — the asset-light layer this report identifies as the opening.

## What this assessment did not do

---

- Zero primary research — no interviews with operators, heat networks, or municipalities; this is a secondary-source synthesis.
- No site-specific model — project economics depend heavily on the specific climate, coolant grade, network temperature, and connection distance, none modelled here.
- Regulatory scope limited to Germany and the EU as the leading forcing functions; other jurisdictions not assessed.
- One competitive precedent (Nerdalize) is a flagged secondary source, not independently verified to the same standard as the operators table.

This is a validation-stage opportunity assessment, not investment advice. Figures reflect verified public sources as of June 2026; project-level economics should be confirmed against a specific site and offtaker before capital commitment.

# References

The 12 sources that directly support claims in this report, grouped by theme. Every entry is clickable — the gold superscripts throughout link here and out to the original source.

## Technology & thermodynamics

- 1 Experimental Lessons of an Organic Rankine Cycle for data-center waste-heat recovery (~2% conversion; net energy loser; ~40K differential needed)

Vertiv (white paper) –

<https://www.vertiv.com/en-us/about/news-and-insights/articles/white-papers/experimental-lessons-of-organic-rankine-c>

- 2 Immersion-cooling outlet temperatures (~60°C) & district-heating-vs-ORC siting

Applied Thermal Engineering (ScienceDirect) –

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1359431124001479>

- 3 Coolant temperature & waste-heat recovery effectiveness

Journal of Cleaner Production (ScienceDirect) –

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0959652624033213>

- 4 Dual-loop ORC techno-economics (heat-pump upgrading required)

Applied Thermal Engineering (ScienceDirect) –

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1359431116326114>

- 5 Heat reuse: a management primer (recoverable grades; Germany EnEfG quota; EU EED >=1MW reuse-or-justify; no pricing mechanism)

Uptime Institute –

<https://uptimeinstitute.com/resources/research-and-reports/heat-reuse-a-management-primer>

## Unit economics

- 6 Allocation of investment costs for large-scale heat pumps (0.8-1.1 M€/MW; heat pump 38-54% of project cost)

DTU / Pieper et al. (Energy Procedia) –

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1876610218302613>

- 7 Data Center Waste Heat Reuse: An Investment Analysis (10MW Philadelphia <2-yr payback with carbon credits, excl. connection)

ASME J. Eng. Sustainable Bldgs & Cities –

<https://asmedigitalcollection.asme.org/sustainablebuildings/article/6/1/011002/1210426/Data-Center-Waste-Heat-Reuse->

- 8 Göttingen waste-heat pricing & storage economics (~48-56 vs ~90-93 €/MWh; storage doesn't pay)

Energy Conversion & Management (ScienceDirect) –

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0196890425003796>

## Operators in market

- 9 Octopus Energy invests £200m in waste-heat data-center business Deep Green (Devon pool heating bill cut ~60%)

DatacenterDynamics –

<https://www.datacenterdynamics.com/en/news/octopus-energy-invests-200m-in-waste-heat-data-center-business-deep-green>

- 10 Reclaiming data-centre waste heat nets Qarnot €35 million (Jan 2023; Société Générale, ADEME, Demeter)

Tech.eu – <https://tech.eu/2023/01/11/reclaiming-data-centre-waste-heat-nets-qarnot-eur35-million/>

- 11 Turning heat into a resource — Mäntsälä supplies ~75% of town-centre district heat (~20,000-25,000 MWh/yr ~ 2,500 homes)

Nebius (sustainability) – <https://nebius.com/sustainability/turning-heat-into-a-resource>

**12** Stockholm — heat recovery from data centres (Open District Heating; 20+ suppliers, >100 GWh/yr, ~30,000 apartments)

EU Covenant of Mayors —

<https://eu-mayors.ec.europa.eu/en/news/stockholm-sweden-heat-recovery-data-centres>